FILE COPY



UNITED STATE PARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

08/734,443

Claims discussed: all

SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER # 23 attack DATE MAILED: **EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD** All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): David Fitzgerald (PTO) Dolly Vance (atty.) Date of interview: 4 January 2000 [] Personal (copy is given to [] applicant [] applicant's representative) [X] Telephone/fax Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: [X] No Attachment(s): [] Yes [X] No [] Yes If yes for either, brief description: [X] was not reached. [] was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question Agreement

Counsel queried whether an amendment requiring that the claimed species antagonize a VEGF "response" would obviate the outstanding § 102 rejection over Pötgens. The examiner indicated that it likely would, but that the amendment could not be entered after final because the new limitation would compel further search.

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

[X] 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 enumerated in M.P.E.P. § 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

[] 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections, and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

DAVID I FITZGERALD • Primary Examiner • Art Unit 1646